



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,389	01/08/2001	Frank Addante	16113-1347001/GP-1700-09	6110
26192 7590 12/16/2010 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER DURAN, ARTHUR D	
			ART UNIT 3622	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 12/16/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/757,389	ADDANTE, FRANK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arthur Duran	3622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/19/10.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13-24 and 26-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13-24, 26-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 13-24 and 26-29 have been examined.

Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 4/19/10 is sufficient to overcome the prior rejection. However, a new reference has been added to the 103 rejection.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/19/10 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claim 1-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made of Group II or claims 13-24 in the reply filed on 7/31/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 13-24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angles (5,933,811) in view of Hu (6,173,322) in view of Gerace (5,848,396).

Claim 13: Angles discloses:

a creative selection server coupled to a network; (Fig. 2, item 18; Fig. 4, item 18;)

a content server, coupled to the network separately from the creative selection server (Fig. 2, item 14; Fig. 4, item 14), that issues at least one redirect command to a user computer in response to receiving a user request for non-advertising content , wherein the redirect command is configured to direct the user computer to a direct connect server (Fig. 4; Fig. 3, items 302, 304, 306; col 15: 1-12); and

the direct connect server being connected to the network; and the creative selection server and the content server, being separately connected, wherein the direct connect server (Fig. 2):

receives creative selection criteria from the user computer (Fig. 3, items 304, 306, 308, 310; Fig. 4); and

responsive to the user request to receive non-advertising content and the at least one redirect command from the content server (Fig. 4, Fig. 3):

generates a request for a creative message as a function of the creative selection criteria (Fig. 4; Fig. 3);

transmits the request for the creative message to the creative selection server (Fig. 4; Fig. 3);

receives an identification of one or more creatives from the creative selection server (Fig. 3, item 310); and

sends the identification of the one or more creatives to the user computer (Fig. 3, item 310; Fig. 4, step F; Fig. 1; Fig. 2).

Examiner notes that Applicant's claim 13 has four separate computers (user computer, content server, direct connect server, creative selection server). Also, the claim 13 relation between the four computers can be described as follows. A user computer requests non-ad content from a content server. The content server gives a redirect command to the user computer that requests ad content from a direct connect server. The direct connect server then requests the ad content from a creative selection server. And, the prior art combination renders obvious these features.

In Figure 2, Angles discloses that the user, advertiser/creative selection server, and content provider can all communicate with each other (Figure 2). Hence, Angles in Figure 2 already discloses three of the four computers. Angles discloses the user computer, the content server, and the advertisement computer. Angles discloses that the user requests content from the content server (Figures 3, 4). The content server then returns the content to the user and also a redirect command that redirects the user to the advertisement provider in order to receive the advertisement content (Fig. 3, 4; Fig. 3, items 304, 306; 310; Fig. 4, item 32; col 15: 1-12). Notice in Angles that the user receives the content from the content provider server. And, the user receives the advertisement content directly from the advertisement provider (col 13:20-31). And, the advertisement provided to the user is based on user information such as user

preference information and also that the ad can be relevant to the content provided (Figs. 3, 4).

Hence, Angles discloses all the Applicant's features except for a separate direct connect server and creative selection server. Angles advertisement provider computer performs the functions of both the Applicant's direct connect server and creative selection server. Angles does not explicitly disclose that the advertisement provider can utilize both a direct connect server and a creative selection server in order to perform the functions of the advertisement provider computer. Angles also does not disclose that the direct connect server and creative selection server are separately connected to the network.

However, Angles discloses an advertisement provider service with a multitude of databases and servers (Fig. 4, item 18; Fig. 2, item 18). And, Angles discloses a variety of server configurations at the advertisement provider for handling ad request, ad selection, and ad providing (Fig. 4, item 18; col 6: 4-12; col 13: 35-46; col 14:59-67). Hence, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that Angles can use more than one server to perform the advertisement provider functions. One would be motivated to do this because the features are merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Additionally, as an example of this, Hu discloses that when a content request is made that a Network Request Manager can be directly connected to the Internet and

provide information to one of many Content Servers which are also directly connected to the Internet. And, that the Content Server can then provide the content to the user (Fig. 1; Fig. 9b; Abstract). Hence, Hu's Network Request Manager functions as the Applicant's claimed direct connect server and Hu's content server functions as the Applicant's claimed creative selection server.

Hence, it is obvious that the advertisement content provided by Angles' advertisement provider server(s) is a type of content that can be provided by Hu's general content providing server(s) configurations. Or, it is obvious that the content provided by Hu can be a variety of content from non-ad content to ad content to a wide variety of content. Hence, it is obvious that Angles advertisement provider functions can be performed by more than one separately connected servers. One would be motivated to do this in order to more efficiently provide the content (as Hu discloses).

Additionally, on 7/10/09, Applicant added the following features to the independent claim:

“and wherein the redirect command includes information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server; and. . .

the request including the information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server;”.

Angles renders obvious where the redirect command includes information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server; and. . . the request including the information descriptive of the location that the user

computer was browsing on the content server. Note that a redirect command is already addressed above.

And, Angles discloses wherein the advertising module is further configured to track consumer activities. (claims 9 and 16).

And, Angles discloses sending content to a user based on the profile of the user and also based on the specific content provider the user was just requesting from or browsing on (15:20-31, “. . . As discussed in more detail below, the advertising module 62 then uses the consumer profile to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70. In another embodiment, the advertising module 62 uses both the consumer profile and the content provider information to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70. . .”; 7:60-8:8, “. . . The advertisement provider computer 18 uses the content provider member code to track the number of advertisements viewed by the consumer computers 12 connected to a particular content provider computer 14. . .”). Hence, note that content is selected based on the user profile and also the current content provider that the user is accessing.

Also, note that content providers have websites (3:5-17, “. . . when accessing a content provider's website. . .”) and the use of cookies for tracking user identity and other relevant user history information (6:59-65, “. . . (Cookie). A file stored on the client computer which contains information such as user names and preferences. In the preferred embodiment, the Cookie in the consumer computer stores a member code which uniquely identifies each consumer. . .”).

Hence, Angles discloses tracking consumer activities and also that it is known which particular content provider the consumer is connected to when the consumer requests content that gets combined with advertising.

Hence, Angles discloses information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server; and. . . the request including the information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server

Additionally, on 10/30/09, Applicant added the following new claim amendments to the independent claim (new part underlined):

“establishes, on the direct connect server, a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) to receive the redirect command;

associates the URL on the direct connect server with a URL on the content server to indicate a location that the user computer was browsing on the content server;

receives, at the URL on the direct connect server associated with the URL on the content server, creative selection criteria from the user computer; and

responsive to the user request to receive non-advertising content and the at least one redirect command from the content server:

configures, using the direct connect server, the user profile to reflect the URL of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server;

generates a request for a creative message as a function of the creative selection criteria, the request including the user profile that reflects the URL the

information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server”.

And, the prior art discloses that the redirect command includes information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server.

And, as stated preceding, it is obvious that the functions of the advertisement computer in Angles can be split up into the direct connect server and creative selection server as shown by Hu.

And, regards to the new features dated 10/30/09, in Angles, the advertisement provider computer is provided the information on the content server the user was browsing. Hence, as Hu shows the advertisement provider computer functions split into a direct connect server and a creative selection server, it is obvious that the direct connect server can be provided with the information on the content server the user was browsing.

For example, Angles discloses wherein the advertising module is further configured to track consumer activities. (claims 9 and 16).

And, Angles discloses sending content to a user based on the profile of the user and also based on the specific content provider the user was just requesting from or browsing on (15:20-31, “. . . As discussed in more detail below, the advertising module 62 then uses the consumer profile to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70. In another embodiment, the advertising module 62 uses both the consumer profile and the content provider information to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70. . .”; 7:60-8:8, “. . . The

advertisement provider computer 18 uses the content provider member code to track the number of advertisements viewed by the consumer computers 12 connected to a particular content provider computer 14. . .). Hence, note that content is selected based on the user profile and also the current content provider that the user is accessing.

Also, note that content providers have websites (3:5-17, “. . . when accessing a content provider's website. . .”) and the use of cookies for tracking user identity and other relevant user history information (6:59-65, “. . . (Cookie). A file stored on the client computer which contains information such as user names and preferences. In the preferred embodiment, the Cookie in the consumer computer stores a member code which uniquely identifies each consumer. . .”).

Hence, Angles discloses tracking consumer activities and also that it is known which particular content provider the consumer is connected to when the consumer requests content that gets combined with advertising.

Furthermore, Angles request to the ad computer for ads (Fig. 2, item, 18; Fig. 3, item 306, item 308, 310; Fig. 4, item 18) includes information on the content computer the user was browsing:

(95) Focusing now on the advertising module 62 illustrated in FIG. 4, the advertising module 62 processes messages from the consumer computers 12. . . . Such server software is configured to process advertisement requests 26 from the consumer computer 12.

(96) In the preferred embodiment, the advertisement requests 26 are embedded

Art Unit: 3622

into the content provider's electronic documents 32. When the consumer computer 12 receives the advertisement requests 26, the consumer computer 12 executes the advertisement request 26. In the preferred embodiment, the advertisement request 26 is an HTML tag which directs the consumer computer 12 to establish a communication link with the advertisement provider computer 18. . .

(97) The advertising module 62 executes the content provider script 64 and obtains the content provider member code. In addition, the advertising module 62 uses the content provider member code 54 to obtain information about the content provider. In addition, the advertising module 62 obtains the consumer member code 22 from the consumer computer 12.

(98) The advertising module 62 uses the consumer member code 22 to obtain a consumer profile from the registration database 68. As discussed in more detail below, the advertising module 62 then uses the consumer profile to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70. In another embodiment, **the advertising module 62 uses both the consumer profile and the content provider information to select an appropriate advertisement** from the advertisement database 70. The advertising module 62 then sends a customized advertisement 30 directly to the consumer computer 12 to be incorporated into an electronic page 32 from the content provider computer 14". (14:58-15:51).

Note that the command to the ad computer includes URL information on the content provider the user was reading when the ad request was made. And, Hu

discloses the ad computer functions being performed by a direct connect server and creative selection server.

Hence, the prior art discloses that the redirect command includes information descriptive of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server. Hence, the prior art discloses establishing, on the direct connect server, a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) to receive the redirect command; associates the URL on the direct connect server with a URL on the content server to indicate a location that the user computer was browsing on the content server.

Also, in regards to other claim amendments Angles discloses that the consumer profile is updated and used for targeting (Fig. 3, 14:58-15:51).

Additionally, on 4/9/10, Applicant added the following features to the independent claims, "to correspond to a specific location that the user was browsing".

Also, in Remarks dated 4/9/20, Applicant states that "specific location" can be interpreted as "knows the location of the content page the user is currently viewing". Or, the content page from where the ad request came is known.

Angles does not explicitly disclose that the advertiser is provided the information on the specific webpage the user was viewing at the time of request in order to better provide ads. However, note the citations preceding which show how Angles discloses providing an ad based on the content provider information from the content provider page where an ad request came from. Also, Angles discloses a request for an ad embedded within a particular content provider webpage (7:60-8:7; 18:20-35). Angles further discloses providing the ad using content provider information concerning the

Art Unit: 3622

content provider page from where the request comes from (15:20-30, "In another embodiment, the advertising module 62 uses both the consumer profile and the content provider information to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70.) Angles further discloses that the content provider information can include content provider website address (17:50-60, "... Proceeding to state 604, the content provider enters information which includes, but is not limited to, the content provider's website address, the name of the content provider, the type of products and services sold by the content provider, the size of the content provider, the content provider's account information, etc."). Hence, since Angles knows the particular content provider website, and Angles knows the particular content provider page from the ad request came from, and Angles discloses providing the ad based on content provider information, it is obvious that Angles can provide the ad based on the specific content provider page from where the ad request came. As a further example of this, Gerace discloses that the advertiser is provided the information on the specific webpage the user was viewing at the time of request so that an appropriate ad can be provided (14:24-35,"...and program 31 would list the new user as the requester and the current screen view as the page from which he made the request."; 16:35-55, "...That is, the Page Objects 35a,b,c (i) assemble the data from a pertinent agate source whose URL is passed in the initial request/option selection, (ii) format the data into tables, and (iii) return it to server 27. Meanwhile the Ad Objects 33b,c,d determine and return appropriate advertisements to be integrated into the screen view/page." Gerace further discloses tracking all user actions including where requests come from

Art Unit: 3622

and are going so that appropriate content and ads can be presented to the user (6:55-7:40). Hence, it is obvious that since Angles already knows where the ad request is coming from, Angles can use the ad request page information in returning and appropriate ad. One would be motivated to do this to better present ads of interest to the user.

Hence, the prior art renders obvious the features of the Applicant's claims.

Claim 14: Angles discloses the request for creative message of claim 13 further defined as a function of a stored profile (col 13:20-31).

Claims 15, 16, 18: The prior art discloses the above. Angles does not explicitly disclose using IP address for targeting.

However, Angles discloses utilizing the IP address and the content provider as relevant communications information and utilizing the Internet Service provider of the user as relevant criteria for selection/targeting purposes (col 7, lines 10-26; col 9, lines 20-30; col 9, lines 35-45; col 10, lines 20-34 ; col 14, lines 15-26; col 16, lines 25-38; col 17, lines 3-10).

Angles further discloses that domain name of the content server can be a criteria (col 11, lines 17-26).

Also, it is old and well known that different Internet Service Providers are going to utilize different domain names.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to that IP information or domain information can be

utilized as a criteria in Angles's targeting based on a range of criteria. One would have been motivated to better use available information for targeting.

Claim 17: Angles discloses the request for creative message of claim 13 further defined as a function of whether or not the user computer has previously connected to the content server (Fig. 5; col 13:20-31). Note that the user registers and that a profile is kept. Hence, new users are known.).

Claim 19: Angles discloses the request for creative message of claim 13 further defined as a function of a search term entered by the user computer (2:20-30; 6:5-15).

Claims 20, 22: Angles further discloses that the content server that placed the content can be a criteria for the advertisement that is provided (col 13:20-31).

Claim 21: Angles discloses the request for creative message of claim 13 further defined as a function of whether or not the user computer has previously connected to the direct connect server (Fig. 5; col 13:20-31). Note that the user registers and that a profile is kept. Hence, new users are known.

Claim 23: Angles discloses the request for creative message of claim 13 further defined as a function of a meta tag on the content server (col 13:20-31).

Claim 24: Angles discloses the direct connect server of claim 13 further comprising a lookup table for storing category codes for use in generating a request for creative message (col 15: 30-42).

Claim 26: Please see the rejection of claim 13 above and particularly the citations to the Hu reference.

Claim 27: Angles further discloses wherein the information descriptive of the location includes a uniform resource locator corresponding to the location that the user computer is browsing on the content server (3:5-17, “. . . when accessing a content provider's website. . .”; 3:29-35, “. . . The content provider websites also register with the advertisement provider before they can receive the customized advertisement services.. .”; also see the rejection of the independent claim above).

Claim 28. Angles discloses wherein the direct connect server is configured to: (1) use the URL of the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server to associate the user request with a previously-established profile used for multiple users, and (2) use the previously-established profile for the user request to generate the request for the creative message. (3:65-4:5; 15:30-42).

Claim 29. The prior art discloses wherein the direct connect server is configured to identify the location that the user computer was browsing on the content server without requiring an additional message from the content server (see the rejection of the independent claim above. Also, note that the content provider information is provided in the initial redirect command via the script that comes with the redirect command. Also, note that the content provider has registered with the ad provider so the ad provider knows about the content provider once the content provider code is provided in the first redirect command, 15:5-17; 3:30-50; Fig. 4).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the grounds of rejection above. Please see the addition of Gerace. Also, note the following.

On 4/9/10, Applicant added the following features to the independent claims, "to correspond to a specific location that the user was browsing".

Also, in Remarks dated 4/9/20, Applicant states that the prior art does not render these features as obvious. And, on page 7, Applicant states that "specific location" can be interpreted as "knows the location of the content page the user is currently viewing".

Angles does not explicitly disclose that the advertiser is provided the information on the specific webpage the user was viewing at the time of request in order to better provide ads. However, note the citations preceding which show how Angles discloses providing an ad based on the content provider information from the content provider page where an ad request came from. Also, Angles discloses a request for an ad embedded within a particular content provider webpage (7:60-8:7; 18:20-35). Angles further discloses providing the ad using content provider information concerning the content provider page from where the request comes from (15:20-30, "In another embodiment, the advertising module 62 uses both the consumer profile and the content provider information to select an appropriate advertisement from the advertisement database 70.) Angles further discloses that the content provider information can include content provider website address (17:50-60, "... Proceeding to state 604, the content provider enters information which includes, but is not limited

Art Unit: 3622

to, the content provider's website address, the name of the content provider, the type of products and services sold by the content provider, the size of the content provider, the content provider's account information, etc.”). Hence, since Angles knows the particular content provider website, and Angles knows the particular content provider page from the ad request came from, and Angles discloses providing the ad based on content provider information, it is obvious that Angles can provide the ad based on the specific content provider page from where the ad request came. As a further example of this, Gerace discloses that the advertiser is provided the information on the specific webpage the user was viewing at the time of request so that an appropriate ad can be provided (14:24-35, "...and program 31 would list the new user as the requester and the current screen view as the page from which he made the request.”; 16:35-55, “...That is, the Page Objects 35a,b,c (i) assemble the data from a pertinent agate source whose URL is passed in the initial request/option selection, (ii) format the data into tables, and (iii) return it to server 27. Meanwhile the Ad Objects 33b,c,d determine and return appropriate advertisements to be integrated into the screen view/page.” Gerace further discloses tracking all user actions including where requests come from and are going so that appropriate content and ads can be presented to the user (6:55-7:40). Hence, it is obvious that since Angles already knows where the ad request is coming from, Angles can use the ad request page information in returning and appropriate ad. One would be motivated to do this to better present ads of interest to the user.

Hence, the prior art renders obvious the Applicant's claims.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a) McCanne 20050010653 at Abstract; Primak 20020010783 at (claim 13); Joffe (6185619) at (Det Paragraph 58); and Lewis 6553376 at (Det 28) disclose relevant features to distributing/spreading server loads across content servers when content is requested. These also disclose relevant features to redirecting and direct connect servers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (571)272-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arthur Duran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3622

/Arthur Duran/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622
4/26/2010